

RECEIVED  
FEDERAL ELECTION  
COMMISSION

2010 FEB 25 PM 3:28

OFFICE OF GENERAL  
COUNSEL

February 17, 2010

Thomasenia Duncan, Esquire  
General Counsel  
Federal Election Commission  
999 E Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20463

MUR # 6257

OFFICE OF GENERAL  
COUNSEL

Re: John Callahan and John Callahan for Congress

Dear Ms. Duncan:

Pursuant to 2 USC § 437g(a)(1) and 11 CFR § 111.4, please accept this letter as a Complaint against John Callahan (Callahan) and John Callahan for Congress ("the Committee") for operating in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and Federal Election Commission ("FEC" or "Commission") regulations and, more specifically, for violation of the prohibited transfer provision set forth in 11 CFR 110.3(d) and for violation of the testing the waters provisions set forth in 11 CFR 100.72(a) and 100.131(a).

**I. Facts**

John Callahan for Congress is the principal campaign committee for John Callahan, a candidate for the United States House of Representatives, campaigning to represent Pennsylvania's 15th Congressional District. The Committee's FEC Form 1 Statement of Organization was filed with the FEC on July 31, 2009. Callahan's FEC Form 2 Statement of Candidacy was also filed with the FEC on July 31, 2009.

Friends of John Callahan is registered with the Pennsylvania Department of State as a nonfederal political action committee. See Attachment 1. It has been reported that Friends of John Callahan is Callahan's mayoral campaign committee. See Attachment 2. Friends of John Callahan is not registered with the FEC.

An October 22, 2009, article in *The Morning Call*, stated "Callahan, before he even announced a run, hired a Democratic research firm to find out what criminal background checks the name John Callahan would dig up, according to campaign manager Justin Schall." See Attachment 3.

According to campaign finance reports filed with the Pennsylvania Department of State, Friends of John Callahan made two disbursements to Stamford Campaigns in Austin, TX, for "research." The first disbursement took place on May 6, 2009, in the amount of \$4,500. The second payment was made on June 22, 2009, in the amount of \$5,432.83.

00810374011

Thomasenia Duncan, Esquire  
February 17, 2010  
Page 2

See Attachment 4. It is important to note that John Callahan had not yet filed with the FEC at the time that these payments were made.

The Facebook profile for Stanford Campaigns states that it is "one of the leading Democratic opposition research companies in the country." See Attachment 5. A website listed on the same profile, [www.opprsearch.com](http://www.opprsearch.com), also touts the company's success in opposition research. See Attachment 6.

The FEC Form 3 for the Committee filed on October 15, 2009, reflects only two payments made prior to July 31, 2009. The purposes of these payments are listed as "domain registration" and "post office box." No contributions from Friends of John Callahan are reported on the same FEC Form 3, and no expenditures to Stanford Campaigns are reported.

## II. Relevant Law

The Act and FEC regulations define contribution to include "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit or money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 2 USC 431(8)(A)(i), 11 CFR 100.52(a).

The regulations also state that an in-kind contribution is created when goods or services are offered for free or at less than the usual charge. An in-kind contribution is also created when a person pays for services on a committee's behalf. 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1) and 11 CFR 100.111(e)(1).

FEC regulations require the reporting of contributions from "all committees (including political committees and committees which do not qualify as political committees under the Act) which make contributions to the reporting committee during the reporting period." 11 CFR 104.3(a)(4)(ii).

Commission regulations state that a candidate's authorized committee for one office receiving a transfer from that same candidate's authorized committee for another office must report the total transfer. 11 CFR 104.3(a)(4)(iii)(A). However, the regulations state that "transfers of funds or assets from a candidate's campaign committee or account for a nonfederal election to his or her principal campaign committee or other authorized committee for a federal election are prohibited." 11 CFR 110.3(d).

The regulations permit an individual who has not decided to run for office to raise funds to "test the waters" and explore whether or not an individual should become a candidate. 11 CFR § 100.72, 11 CFR § 100.131. The individual is required to keep records of all of

11044301801

these payments and, if the individual subsequently becomes a candidate, money raised and spent to test the waters are considered contributions and expenditures under the Act and must be reported by the campaign. 11 CFR § 101.3.

### III. Legal Analysis

On May 6 and June 22, 2009, the Committee violated FEC regulations when it allowed Friends of John Callahan to make an in-kind contribution to John Callahan for Congress.

Callahan's own campaign manager, Justin Schall, stated that payments were made for opposition research in preparation for Callahan's run for congress. Yet, the Committee reported no payments for research prior to July 31, 2009, the date Callahan filed the FEC Form 2 Statement of Candidacy. Friends of John Callahan, however, reported a total of \$9,932.83 in payments for research prior to July 31, 2009.

Payments made for services on the Committee's behalf by Friends of John Callahan create an in-kind contribution to the Committee. Friends of John Callahan is Callahan's campaign committee for a nonfederal election. John Callahan for Congress is the principal campaign committee for a federal election. Commission regulations plainly prohibit transfers of funds or assets between a candidate's committee for a nonfederal election to a candidate's principal campaign committee.

In addition to accepting an illegal contribution, the Committee also failed to report the illegal transfer as a contribution. Commission regulations allowed Callahan to receive contributions and make expenditures to determine whether or not he should run for congress. The statements by Callahan's campaign manager show that Callahan hired an opposition researcher to see what the researcher could "dig up" on Callahan prior to running for congress. Certainly the results of this research were used in determining whether or not Callahan should become a candidate. Once Callahan determined that he was running for congress he was required to report those contributions and expenditures. Yet, even after Callahan declared his candidacy, the Committee reported no contribution from Friends of John Callahan or any expenditures to Stanford Campaigns.

Acceptance of an in-kind contribution from Friends of John Callahan by the Committee is a transfer from Callahan's nonfederal campaign committee to his principal campaign committee. This constitutes an illegal transfer under FEC regulations. Furthermore, the Committee failed to report this contribution as required under the testing the waters provision.

11044301802


Thomasenia Duncan, Esquire  
February 17, 2010  
Page 4

IV. Conclusion

Upon information and belief, and based upon the facts relayed herein, John Callahan and John Callahan for Congress have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, and Federal Election Commission Regulations. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the Commission conduct an immediate investigation into the violations outlined above and impose the maximum penalty under law.

The foregoing is correct and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert A. Gleason, Jr.,  
PO Box 8  
Johnstown, PA 15907


Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

County of Cambria

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 17th day of February, 2010.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: Jan 22, 2013



11044301803

11044301804

# Attachment 1



Department of State

## Campaign Finance Reporting

## Customer Service Reporting Issues

## Summary

- **Search Search**
- **CardIndex Search**
- **Repeating Search**
- **Index Search**
- **Simple Search**
- **Classification Search**
- **User's Information**
- **Personal Copies and Rates**
- **Recently Asked Questions**
- **Help**
- **Other NCU Links**
- **NCU Home Page**
- **NCU Home Page**
- **Electronic Information**

### Committee Search Results

## Search Options

**Committee Member: Calahan**

### Committee Types:

**Copy**

**Started****Zip Codes****Outline:**

**Distributors:** 0

## Part 4

**Records 1 to 1 of 1**  
**Page 1 of 1**

### Committee Details

### Committee Name and Address

Number

**FRIENDS OF JOHN CALLAHAN  
PO BOX 1409  
BETHLEHEM, PA 18016**

**2005468**

**Types** Political Action Committees

**Translator: JOHN V FILIPOS**

## 13 Available Reports

**2009 Orsa 4**

2001 Order 3

## 2009 Cycle 2

**2019 Q1a1**

2001 Oct 27

2001 Oct 5

2008 Oct 1

2008 Cycle 2

**2017 Cycle 2**

**2007 Cycle 3**

**2006 Grade 7**

2006 Cycle 5

**2008 Cycle 3**

## Go to Top

**Go to Bottom**

**Records 1 to 1 of 1**  
**Page 1 of 1**

## Now Search

**Pennsylvania Department of State  
322 North Office Building, Harrisburg, PA 17126  
Phone - (717) 787-6000**



11044301807

# Attachment 2



Advertisement



Internet Explorer cannot display the webpage

# THE MORNING CALL

You are here: The Morning Call Home > Elections > Campaign Finance Reports

Ads by Google

Mike Took on Blago

View Jim Ryan's TV ad to find out Blago's integrity independence  
www.bloodymilk.com

Small Campaign Websites  
Available also with large &  
small domains & voluntary  
links included  
www.bloodymilk.com

Replica, Ryan, Inc.  
Blago - Blago -  
Exposure Campaign  
Voluntary for Governor  
www.bloodymilk.com

Democracy Watchdog  
Constitutional advocacy  
online. Monitor, report.  
Contact us  
www.democracy.com

CRIMINAL RECORDS  
POLICE and State  
Campaign Finance  
and other records &  
checkable sites  
www.pastorblago.com

## RELATED KEYWORDS

- Campaign Finance Reports
- Council Candidates
- Democratic Candidates

## RELATED ARTICLES

Recently Viewed: Governor's Campaign  
City Council Results 'He  
Standard 6 1997

Schneider looking for a way in  
Baltimore City Council race  
May 10, 2008

## Mayor's primary bets paid little dividend

Campaign report shows he gave \$14,000 to 9 Democratic candidates

June 17, 2008 (by Jack Halpern of The Morning Call)

Mayor John Cafarella's campaign committee dumped more than \$14,000 behind five Democratic Baltimore City Council candidates, only one of whom won a seat in last month's primary election, according to campaign finance reports filed Thursday.

Friends of John Cafarella, according to the reports, gave \$4,700 each to Dave Sanders, Dave Hardish and Neena Dehn. Three of the 10 Democrats who battled for four spots in the May 17 primary.

Only Dehn won.

Ads by Google

Violation for Republicans

Sites for Republican Campaigns Blog,  
Fundraising, Social Networking  
www.bloodymilk.com

Mike Took on Blago Final?

View Jim Ryan's TV ad to find out  
Blago's integrity independence.  
www.bloodymilk.com

While Cafarella's support of the three candidates comes as no surprise, the extent of his financial involvement in their campaigns was revealed for the first time with Thursday's campaign finance filing deadline.

In addition to his support for Sanders, Dehn and Hardish, Cafarella said out money before the election using votes paid to vote for Democratic incumbents Joan Schall and Joseph F. Looney Jr.

Schall, Looney and fellow incumbent Democrat Michael Schneider, all of whom won Democratic nominations, said last month that they thought the mayor's intervention in the race was wrong. None of the three would be reelected for term on Thursday.

Cafarella said in The Today Show that he contributed to the three candidates' campaigns because he thought they "would be open-minded and make decisions for the greater good of the city."

Overall, the 10 Democratic council candidates spent nearly \$122,000 on their campaigns, with Schneider leading the way spending more than \$44,000, according to the campaign finance reports.

But money, it appears, didn't decide the election.

Only two of the top 10 Democratic candidates -- Schneider and Dehn -- captured nominations.

Dehn spent \$14,000 on her campaign.

Looney, who spent \$10,000 between Jan. 1 and June 6, was only fifth on the list of top spenders, yet finished with the third highest vote total.


Schall, outspent only two other candidates, with \$6,000.

Schneider nearly tripled the next highest spender. Some of that, however, was spent on a New York City polling company to determine whether he wanted to run for mayor against Cafarella.

In the end, he decided against such a move, making his one of the most expensive City Council campaigns ever in Baltimore.

Schneider, who finished second to Schneider in expenses, with \$10,100, said he doesn't regret his expensive run for a council seat.

"To run an effective campaign it costs money," said Sanders, who finished eighth out of the 10 Democrats.



11044301808

candidate: "My idea is that if you invested in Dave Gordon, I'm going to spend on Dave Gordon. We wanted to spend everything."

His report detailed only \$150 left over.

Hendrich spent the \$112,000, ending campaign and finished with the 11th-highest vote total.

Campaign expenses for the four other Democratic candidates were \$8,897 by incumbent James Aronow; \$9,084 by William Finkbeiner; \$5,584 by Richard Gendron; and \$2,100 by Michelle Lerner.

Two Republicans faced no opposition in the primary and will be on the November ballot, James Faltowski spent \$400 and Miller Lee spent \$201.

...

Adity Singh



THE MORNING CALL

Penny Policy (Tune of Green)

11044301809

11044301810

# Attachment 3

## THE MORNING CALL

Dems. GOP digging to find out as much as they can about Dent, Callahan

Thu 22 Oct 2009

By Nicole Radzевич and Josh Drobnyk

OF THE MORNING CALL

Multiple Page View

The day after Bethlehem Mayor John Callahan announced his bid for Congress in July, the Republicans' national campaign arm requested 18 public records ranging from his City Council voting and attendance records to travel expenses. Another GOP consultant requested his phone logs, government e-mails and salary information.

Callahan's opponent, U.S. Rep. Charlie Dent, said he's been notified by the General Assembly in Harrisburg that Democrats are after information about his office spending during 14 years in the state House and Senate.

With the election for the 18th Congressional District seat a year away, hired political guns are far into the ammunition-gathering stage of the contest, jockeying for inside even before Dent, a Republican, and Callahan, the only Democrat to announce a challenge, have won their respective primaries or hit the campaign trail in earnest.

While the candidates are wooing donors at high-dollar fundraisers, opposition researchers are looking for skeletons in closets — the kind of stuff that can wind up in attack ads or mailers.

The right-to-know requests filed by the opposing camps, obtained by The Morning Call, offer an insider's look at the underbelly of congressional campaigns in their earliest stages. The requests from both sides reveal the fishing expeditions for information, far-reaching possible narratives for the campaigns and put the candidates on notice that it will be a hard-fought contest to represent the greater Lehigh Valley in Congress.

"They're laying the groundwork for their strategy for the campaign. They're looking for any possible piece of evidence or material that can help build a case against their opponent," said Christopher Borok, political science professor at Muhlenberg College in Allentown.

The candidates aren't just focused on their opponents. Callahan, before he even announced a run, hired a Democratic research firm to find out what criminal background checks the name John Callahan would dig up, according to campaign manager Judith Schell. It was also curious to know what a check of contractors who had done business with the city would reveal, the requests show.

The guns find out what an opponent might

information doesn't always come in equal doses. The Freedom of Information Act doesn't apply to Congress, meaning logs of meetings and phone calls for Dent are unavailable. Congressional members make public their office expenses.

What Callahan has at his fingertips is Dent's voting history since he arrived in Congress in 2005.

A spokesman for the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee said it doesn't discuss opposition research.

The oddity, in Callahan's case, is that City Hall employees, by responding to information requests, are essentially doing legwork for their boss' political foe.

Callahan shrugged off the irony, saying "it's the law." But Callahan questioned how the information requested will help voters make an informed decision.

"I hope that this campaign will be based on issues that are important to everyday people," Callahan said. "Some of these right-to-know requests don't necessarily seem to get to issues that matter to everyday people."

Once Callahan announced his bid, the National Republican Congressional Committee sent formal requests on

11044301811

letterhead. It wanted to peruse letters written by Callahan and City Council regarding state and federal funding requests, travel expenses, city budget and any financial disclosure forms Callahan may have submitted.

A GOP consultant from New Jersey requested Callahan's pension, benefit and salary information. He wants copies of all expenses and copies of the minutes when Callahan was chairman of council's Finance and Public Works committees.

Going back to 1997, the consultant wants the history of city vehicles: maintenance costs, gasoline costs, purchases, total number of vehicles as well as their make and model. He wants to know the cell phones issued to city employees and animal units.

National Republican Congressional Committee spokesman Tony Mazzola said the requests "are routine and common checks" designed to ensure voters have a clear picture of the two candidates.

"It is important to look through these records and find out how resources are being used," Mazzola said.

Bethlehem assistant solicitor Joseph Kelly said the office is working on fulfilling the requests. He described the amount of information requested as "massive." Records show as of the end of August, the city charged \$185.22 for copies and postage related to those gathering information on Callahan.

[« Previous Article](#)

[Next Article »](#)

[Email Friend](#)

[Home](#)

---

[Back to top](#)

---

[Help](#)

Copyright © 2009 The Morning Call  
Made Mobile by Starout

11044301813

# Attachment 4



**Candidate Finance  
Reporting Menu**

**Overview**

- About Search
- Candidate Search
- Committee Search
- Date Search
- Amount Search
- Campaign Search
- General Information
- Receipts, Dates and  
Filer
- Expenditures
- Filings
- Other Use Info
- Roll Back Item
- Roll Back Item
- Election Information

**Expenditure Search Results****Search Options**

**Recipient Name:** stanford  
**City:**  
**Zip Code:**  
**Begin Date:** 1/1/2009  
**End Date:** 1/3/2010  
**Minimum Amount:** \$0.00  
**Maximum Amount:** \$0.00  
**Filer (Payer) Name:** callahan  
**Description:**  
**Sort Order:** Recipient Name

Records 1 to 2 of 2  
 Page 1 of 1

1	Expenditure Details	1
Recipient	Date	Amount
STANFORD CAMPAIGNS AUSTIN, TX 78705	5/5/2009	\$1,500.00
Description: RESEARCH		
Filer/Payer: FRIENDS OF JOHN CALLAHAN		
Report: 2009 Cycle 1		
<a href="#">Go to Top</a>	<a href="#">Go to Bottom</a>	

2	Expenditure Details	2
Recipient	Date	Amount
STANFORD CAMPAIGNS AUSTIN, TX 78705	6/22/2009	\$5,342.83
Description: RESEARCH		
Filer/Payer: CALLAHAN, JOHN FRIENDS OF		
Report: 2009 Cycle 1		
<a href="#">Go to Top</a>	<a href="#">Go to Bottom</a>	

Records 1 to 2 of 2  
 Page 1 of 1

New Search

11044301814

---

Pennsylvania Department of State  
302 North Office Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120  
Phone - (717) 781-6100



Copyright © 2001 Pennsylvania Department of State. All Rights Reserved.  
**Commonwealth of PA Privacy Statement.**

11044301815



11044301816

# Attachment 5



11044301818

# Attachment 6



## FINALLY, A TEXAS DEMOCRAT WITH A WINNING RECORD.

Founded in 1997, Stanford Research has built an impressive track record of success as one of the leading Democratic opposition research companies in the country.

### Why we're good at research

The highest purpose of opposition research is to ground political rhetoric in documented fact. We find accurate, documented information that helps campaigns develop effective contrasts between candidates. Stanford Research is proud of its role in helping voters make informed decisions.

Stanford Research has the top-level experience that comes from having worked on more than 100 campaigns in 33 states. Our clients include state and federal Democratic Party committees, major interest groups, labor unions, Fortune 500 companies, and more than a dozen members of Congress.

We know that research is no good to you unless it's 100-percent accurate, easily documented, in context, and on deadline. Stanford Research relies on time-tested research methods to get the job done right and on time. We also provide the frequent follow-up and dogged fact checking that professional research requires.

We know what we're doing. ~~It's~~ like what we're doing. That's why we're good at it.

### Why our reports are more useful

Most research companies use the Internet to perform research, but Stanford Research takes advantage of technology when we present research.

It used to take several days to read bulky reports in three-ring binders that cost hundreds of dollars to copy but fall apart after heavy use. Stanford Research reports present the information digitally with navigational tools that help you find what you need to know. We've done this by producing Web-based reports on CDs, with hyperlinks to digital images of the documentation, making cumbersome and expensive three-ring binders obsolete.

We know how you'll use opposition research in all facets of your campaign, so we structure the reports to give you the information you want in the format you can use. We think this is the next generation of opposition research, so we call it Oppresearch 2.0.

**ANALYSIS: Oppresearch  
Acquits Wicks**

**Stanford defends "troops  
in Iraq"**

**COMMENTARY: Attacks  
Adhere to Strict Standards  
of Accuracy**

**Jason Stanford wins Public  
Affairs POLL**

**CNN Money talks with  
Stanford about life as  
opposition researcher**

**LA REM: Hypocrisy on  
offshoring jobs exposed in  
Stanford piece**

11044301820

# Attachment 7

11044301821



---

# **FEC FORM 3**

## **REPORT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS**

**For An Authorized Committee**

**FILING FEC-436228**

---

**1. John Callahan for Congress**

PO Box 1386  
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18016

**State is Pennsylvania in District: 15**

**2. FEC Committee ID #: C09404750**

**3. This report is NOT an AMENDMENT**

**4. Report Type - OCT QUARTERLY**

**Filed 10/15/2009**

**SUMMARY PAGE**

**DETAILED SUMMARY PAGE**

**Schedule A Filings (ITEMIZED RECEIPTS)**

**Schedule B Filings (ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS)**

**Schedule D Filings (DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS)**

**(End FEC FORM 3)**

# SUMMARY PAGE

## Of Receipts And Disbursements

5. Covering Period 06/11/2009 Through 09/30/2009

	Column A This Period	Column B Election Cycle-To-Date
<b>6. Net Contributions (other than loans)</b>		
(a) Total Contributions (other than loans)	346065.95	346065.95
(b) Total Contribution Refunds	0.00	0.00
(c) Net Contributions (6(a) - 6(b))	346065.95	346065.95
<b>7. Net Operating Expenditures</b>		
(a) Total Operating Expenditures	20363.89	20363.89
(b) Total Offsets to Operating Expenditures	0.00	0.00
(c) Net Operating Expenditures	20363.89	20363.89
<b>8. Cash on Hand at Close of Reporting Period</b>	325702.06	
<b>9. Debts and Obligations Owed TO the Committee</b>	0.00	
Itemize all on SCHEDULE C or SCHEDULE D		
<b>10. Debts and Obligations Owed BY the Committee</b>	1250.00	
Itemize all on SCHEDULE C or SCHEDULE D		

Treasurer: John Filipos

Date Signed: 10/15/2009

(End Summary Page, REC FORM 3)

# DETAILED SUMMARY PAGE

## Of Receipts And Disbursements

	Column A This Period	Column B Election Cycle-To- Date	Column C Post- Election
<b>I. RECEIPTS</b>			

<b>11. Contributions (other than loans) From:</b>			
<b>(a) Individuals/Persons Other than Political Committees</b>			
(i) Itemized	369745.86		
(ii) Unitemized	10269.09		
(iii) Total Of Contributions From Individuals	320014.95	320014.95	0.00
(b) Political Party Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
(c) Other Political Committees (such as PACS)	26051.00	26051.00	0.00
(d) The Candidate	0.00	0.00	0.00
(e) Total Contributions (11(a)(iii) + (b) + (c))	346065.95	346065.95	0.00
12. Transfers From Other Authorized Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>13. Loans</b>			
(a) Made Or Guaranteed By The Candidate	0.00	0.00	0.00
(b) All Other Loans	0.00	0.00	0.00
(c) Total Loans ((a) + (b))	0.00	0.00	0.00
14. Offsets to Operating Expenditures (Refunds, Rebates, etc)	0.00	0.00	0.00
15. Other Receipts	0.00	0.00	0.00
16. Total Receipts (11(e) + 12 + 13(c) + 14 + 15)	346065.95	346065.95	0.00
<b>II. DISBURSEMENTS</b>			
17. Operating Expenditures	20363.89	20363.89	0.00
18. Transfers to Other Authorized Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>19. Loan Repayments:</b>			
(a) Of Loans Made or Guaranteed by the Candidate	0.00	0.00	0.00
(b) Of All Other Loans	0.00	0.00	0.00
(c) Total Loan Repayments ((a) + (b))	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>20. Refunds of Contributions To:</b>			
(a) Individuals/Persons Other Than Political Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
(b) Political Party Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
(c) Other Political Committees (such as PACs)	0.00	0.00	0.00
(d) Total Contribution Refunds (20(a) + (b) + (c))	0.00	0.00	0.00
21. Other Disbursements	0.00	0.00	0.00
22. Total Disbursements (17 + 18 + 19(c) + 20(d) + 21)	20363.89	20363.89	0.00
<b>III. CASH SUMMARY</b>			
23. Cash On Hand At Beginning Of Reporting Period	0.00		
24. Total Receipts This Period (line 16)	346065.95	346065.95	0.00
25. Subtotal (23 + 24)	346065.95		



26. Total Disbursements This Period (line 22)	20363.89	20363.89	0.00
27. Cash On Hand At Close Of The Reporting Period (25 - 26)	325702.06		

(End Detailed Summary Page, FEC FORM 3)




Generated Mon Feb 8 13:26:22 2010

11044301824

11044301825

# Attachment 7

11044301826



---

# **FEC FORM 3**

## **REPORT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS**

**For An Authorized Committee**

**FILING FEC-436228**

---

**1. John Callahan for Congress**

PO Box 1386  
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18016

State is Pennsylvania in District: 15

**2. FEC Committee ID #: C90464750**

**3. This report is NOT an AMENDMENT**

**4. Report Type = OCT QUARTERLY**

Filed 10/15/2009

**SUMMARY PAGE**

**DETAILED SUMMARY PAGE**

**Schedule A Filings (ITEMIZED RECEIPTS)**

**Schedule B Filings (ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS)**

**Schedule D Filings (DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS)**

**(End FEC FORM 3)**

---

11044301827

# SUMMARY PAGE

## Of Receipts And Disbursements

5. Covering Period 06/11/2009 Through 09/30/2009

	Column A This Period	Column B Election Cycle-To-Date
<b>6. Net Contributions (other than loans)</b>		
(a) Total Contributions (other than loans)	346065.95	346065.95
(b) Total Contribution Refunds	0.00	0.00
(c) Net Contributions (6(a) - 6(b))	346065.95	346065.95
<b>7. Net Operating Expenditures</b>		
(a) Total Operating Expenditures	20363.89	20363.89
(b) Total Offsets to Operating Expenditures	0.00	0.00
(c) Net Operating Expenditures	20363.89	20363.89
<b>8. Cash on Hand at Close of Reporting Period</b>	325702.06	
<b>9. Debts and Obligations Owed TO the Committee</b>	0.00	
Itemize all on SCHEDULE C or SCHEDULE D		
<b>10. Debts and Obligations Owed BY the Committee</b>	1250.00	
Itemize all on SCHEDULE C or SCHEDULE D		

Treasurer: John Filipos

Date Signed: 10/15/2009

(End Summary Page, FEC FORM 3)

# DETAILED SUMMARY PAGE

## Of Receipts And Disbursements

	Column A This Period	Column B Election Cycle-To- Date	Column C Post- Election
<b>I. RECEIPTS</b>			
<b>11. Contributions (other than loans) From:</b>			
(a) Individuals/Persons Other than Political Committees			

(i) Itemized	309745.86		
(ii) Unitemized	10269.09		
(iii) Total Of Contributions From Individuals	320014.95	320014.95	0.00
(b) Political Party Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
(c) Other Political Committees (such as PACS)	26051.00	26051.00	0.00
(d) The Candidate	0.00	0.00	0.00
(e) Total Contributions (11(a)(iii) + (b) + (c))	346065.95	346065.95	0.00
12. Transfers From Other Authorized Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
13. Loans			
(a) Made Or Guaranteed By The Candidate	0.00	0.00	0.00
(b) All Other Loans	0.00	0.00	0.00
(c) Total Loans ((a) + (b))	0.00	0.00	0.00
14. Offsets to Operating Expenditures (Refunds, Rebates, etc)	0.00	0.00	0.00
15. Other Receipts	0.00	0.00	0.00
16. Total Receipts (11(e) + 12 + 13(c) + 14 + 15)	346065.95	346065.95	0.00
<b>II. DISBURSEMENTS</b>			
17. Operating Expenditures	20363.89	20363.89	0.00
18. Transfers to Other Authorized Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
19. Loan Repayments:			
(a) Of Loans Made or Guaranteed by the Candidate	0.00	0.00	0.00
(b) Of All Other Loans	0.00	0.00	0.00
(c) Total Loan Repayments ((a) + (b))	0.00	0.00	0.00
20. Refunds of Contributions To:			
(a) Individuals/Persons Other Than Political Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
(b) Political Party Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00
(c) Other Political Committees (such as PACs)	0.00	0.00	0.00
(d) Total Contribution Refunds (20(a) + (b) + (c))	0.00	0.00	0.00
21. Other Disbursements	0.00	0.00	0.00
22. Total Disbursements (17 + 18 + 19(c) + 20(d) + 21)	20363.89	20363.89	0.00
<b>III. CASH SUMMARY</b>			
23. Cash On Hand At Beginning Of Reporting Period	0.00		
24. Total Receipts This Period (line 16)	346065.95	346065.95	0.00
25. Subtotal (23 + 24)	346065.95		
26. Total Disbursements This Period (line 22)	20363.89	20363.89	0.00
27. Cash On Hand At Close Of The Reporting Period (25 - 26)	325702.06		

**(End Detailed Summary Page, FEC FORM 3)**



**Generated Mon Feb 8 13:26:22 2010**

11044301829